FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

C.A.NO.2607 OF 2007 IN F.A.ST.NO.10858 OF 2007

Office notes, office Memoranda of Coram, appearance, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Court's or Judge's orders.

Mr.J.J.Xavier for the Applicant.

CORAM: A.S.OKA, J.
DATE : 21ST SEPTEMBER, 2007

P.C.

1. Heard counsel appearing for the parties. Application for condonation of delay is strongly opposed by the counsel for the respondents. In view of the averments made in the application, sufficient cause is made out. Rule is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

(A.S. OKA, J.)

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

F.A.ST.NO.10858 OF 2007

Office notes, office Memoranda of Coram, appearance, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Court's or Judge's orders.

Mr.J.J.Xavier for the Appellant.

CORAM: A.S.OKA, J.
DATE : 21ST SEPTEMBER, 2007

P.C.

1. Admit. Printing is dispensed with.

2. Time of eight weeks is granted to remove all office objections. If all the office objections are not removed within the stipulated time, the Appeal will stand dismissed for want of prosecution without further reference to the Court.

3. Private paperbook along with Decree Form shall be filed by the Appellant within a period of one year from today. If compliance is not made within stipulated time, the Appeal shall stand dismissed for want of prosecution without further reference to the Court.

(A.S. OKA, J.)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
C.A.NO.2608 OF 2007 IN F.A.ST. NO.10858 OF 2007

Office notes, office Memoranda of Coram, appearance, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Court's or Judge's orders.

Mr.J.J.Xavier for the Applicant.

CORAM: A.S.OKA, J.
DATE : 21ST SEPTEMBER, 2007

P.C.

1. Heard Advocates appearing for the parties. The impugned Judgment and Order has been passed in an appeal preferred under Section-217 of the Mumbai Municipal Corporation Act, 1888. Considering the nature of the impugned order, grant of stay will amount to granting final relief at this stage. Hence, application for stay is rejected.

2. In so far as adjustment ordered under the impugned order is concerned, the same will be governed by order dated 8th August, 2007 passed in Writ Petition No.395 of 2007 as the learned counsel appearing for the respondents states that the order passed in the said Writ Petition relates to the

impugned order.

(A.S. OKA, J.)